Hydrothermal Regimes of Small Stream Channels in the Tuktoyaktuk Coastlands and Anderson Plain, Northwest Territories, Canada



Introduction

Knowledge of winter hydrothermal conditions in small stream channels (Figure 1) and riparian environments in continuous permafrost is limited. Climate warming, increasing fall precipitation, and changes in snow distribution related to increasing tundra vegetation coverage are likely delaying active layer freeze-back in autumn and early winter. This is increasing the potential for winter water movement, which can have significant implications for Arctic hydrology and communities.

Study Region

The study region occupies the western portions of the Anderson Plain and Tuktoyaktuk Coastlands physiographic regions of the western Canadian Arctic (Figure 2) [1]. Permafrost is continuous and ice-rich, with abundant lakes [2]. Vegetation is primarily low-shrub tundra at the coast, transitioning to tallshrub tundra farther inland and to open woodland near Sitidgi Lake [3]. Mean annual air temperatures for 1981-2010 were -8 and -10°C for Inuvik and Tuktoyaktuk, and air temperature has been rising since the 1970s [2].

Background

Investigations in the study region have shown that riparian systems in the taiga forest and tundra have greater annual mean ground temperatures than undisturbed flat terrain in the same ecological setting [4,5]. Groundpenetrating radar (GPR) and electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) have been employed, primarily in northern Alaska, to delineate taliks beneath frozen streams and during the summer season [6]. There has been limited research attention towards the thermal regimes of small stream channels in continuous permafrost, particularly during winter, and the study region presents an important opportunity in this regard.

Hydrological regimes in cold regions have been characterized for large watersheds, particularly during the open water period [7]. Interest in winter hydrological activity is developing in association with permafrost degradation. Shrub proliferation associated with climate warming in the western Arctic [8] has the potential to modify hydrological regimes by changing snow distribution and extending the period of active layer freeze-back in early winter [9]. Although runoff from large watersheds in the study region has been monitored for several decades (Figure 3), winter runoff in large and small watersheds has not been well quantified even for the present climate.

Stream icings (Figure 4) occur when water is forced to the stream surface and freezes in successive layers [10]. Stream icings often form above shallow cross sections after the stream freezes to the bed [11]. Icing formation in the subarctic Canadian Shield is positively related to winter runoff [12]. Icing investigations have mainly focussed on infrastructure sites and have been limited in regions of continuous permafrost. In such regions, groundwater contributions to winter runoff may be limited to the talik running beneath a stream channel, subject to its permeability [13].

Inuvik to Tuktoyaktuk Highway

Opened in November 2017, the Inuvik to Tuktoyaktuk Highway (ITH) is 120 km long and crosses approximately 80 watercourses with contributing areas between 0.01 and 460 km². Due to its location and the extent of baseline data obtained during planning and construction, the ITH has facilitated a variety of research projects relating to infrastructure, permafrost conditions, and other Arctic science. The ITH has also facilitated observations of winter hydrological activity in small streams and riparian systems, some of which is manifested as icings (Figure 4) and heaving riparian vegetation (Figure 5).

Research Objectives

The aforementioned knowledge gaps, and observations in the study region to date, have led to the following research objectives:

- 1) Describe the thermal regime of tundra stream channels and adjacent riparian systems in continuous permafrost;
- 2) Quantify winter runoff and convective thermal energy export from small watersheds;
- Identify watershed characteristics, climate and meteorological 3) conditions, and ground thermal conditions that contribute to winter runoff and trigger stream icings

Methods

(1) Ground and water temperature at streams is being measured by thermistors beneath streambeds and in adjacent riparian areas (Figure 6). This instrumentation has been installed at multiple locations between Inuvik and Tuktoyaktuk at sites with a range of contributing watershed areas. For comparison with ground temperature fields derived from thermistors, the geometry of unfrozen substrate beneath and around stream channels will be delineated in late-winter by Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT) surveys.

(2) Winter runoff will be estimated by measuring the late winter volume of stream icings. Icing volume will be derived from ice thicknesses determined by drilling and by photogrammetry with an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV).











Timothy Ensom (PhD Candidate)¹, Philip Marsh¹, Steve Kokelj² enso5730@mylaurier.ca ¹Wilfrid Laurier University Cold Regions Research Centre, Waterloo ON ²Northwest Territories Geological Survey, Yellowknife NWT

Figure 1: Stream channel near Tuktoyaktuk, Northwest Territories. Insulation from deep snowdrifts in stream and riparian systems can have a strong influence on their thermal regime.

Figure 2: Study region in the western Canadian Arctic, characterized by latitudinal climatic and ecological gradients. Base data from [1,2,4].

Figure 3: Maximum daily mean discharge for multi-year periods of record at two regional hydrometric stations with contributing watersheds of 69 and 329 km². Winter runoff in the study region is a research opportunity. Data are from the Water Survey of Canada.

Figure 5 (left): QR code for riparian vegetation heave near Tuktoyaktuk, October 2014. Video courtesy of Steve Kokelj.







ground temperature field beneath the creek.



Government of

Figure 4. Evidence of winter runoff from a 20 km² watershed (Creek 18 on map). Stream icing is over 2.5 m thick beneath bridge. Viewed looking upstream.

Figure 6: Instrumentation at Havikpak Creek intended to show the cross-sectional

Figure 7: Winter stream channel longitudinal profile showing gradient S in hydrostatic water level. Blue columns are exaggerated to represent hydrostatic water level, which can be measured by submersible pressure recorders (inset).



Methods (continued)

Winter discharge Q_{τ} through unfrozen channel substrate will be estimated as $Q_{\tau} = A_{\tau}KS$ [13]. A_{τ} is the talik cross-sectional area, K is the sediment coefficient of permeability, and S is the hydraulic gradient (Figure 7). Calculations will rely on the estimated geometry of the thaw bulb using ground temperature measurements, sediment permeability estimates derived from geotechnical data and in-situ testing, and instrumentation measuring hydrostatic water surface elevation along study streams.

(3) Subsurface geotechnical data available for ITH water crossings and other regional sites, in combination with existing regional meteorological, hydrological, and ground temperature data, will facilitate a modelling component likely in GEOtop [14] or the Cold Regions Hydrological Model [15]. Following model validation with existing data, model projections will investigate the sensitivity of winter runoff to variability in watershed morphology, meteorology, climate, and ground temperature. The mechanism of development of stream icings at ITH bridges will be investigated by monitoring the vertical temperature profile in substrate beneath streambeds while concurrently monitoring icing activity with automated cameras.

Potential

This research intends to provide detailed thermal descriptions of small stream cross-sections in continuous permafrost, to explore the sensitivity of winter hydrothermal dynamics to changing conditions in the study region, and to investigate the interaction of hydrological and permafrost processes. This work also has the potential to inform infrastructure design and maintenance practices, and to further engage infrastructure planners, the transportation industry, and northern communities. References 1] Rampton VN. 1988. Quaternary geology of the Tuktoyaktuk coastlands, Northwest Territories. Memoir 423. Geological Survey of Canada: Ottawa.

2] Burn CR, Kokelj SV. 2009. The environment and permafrost of the Mackenzie Delta area. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes 20: 83–105. 3] Mackay JR. 1963. The Mackenzie Delta Area, N.W.T. Geographical Branch Memoir 8. Department of Mines and Technical Surveys: Ottawa. 4] Kokelj SV, Palmer MJ, Lantz TC, Burn CR. 2017. Ground Temperatures and Permafrost Warming from Forest to Tundra, Tuktoyaktuk Coastlands and Anderson Plain, NWT, Canada. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes 28: 543–551. 5] Stevens CW, Palmer M, Wolfe SA, Kokelj S, Smith SL. 2011. Permafrost and Environmental Conditions at Stream Crossing Sites in the northern Mackenzie Corridor, Northwest Territories; Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 6976; Northwest Territories Geoscience Office, NWT Open Report 2011-12. 6] Brosten TR, Bradford JH, McNamara JP, Gooseff MN, Zarnetske JP, Bowden WB, Johnston ME. 2009. Estimating 3D variation in active-layer thickness beneath arctic

streams using ground-penetrating radar. Journal of Hydrology 373: 479-486. 7] Edwardson KJ, Bowden WB, Dahm C, Morrice J. 2003. The hydraulic characteristics and geochemistry of hyporheic and parafluvial zones in Arctic tundra streams, north slope, Alaska. Advances in Water Resources 26: 907-923.

8] Lantz TC, Marsh P, Kokelj SV. 2013. Recent shrub proliferation in the Mackenzie Delta uplands and microclimatic implications. Ecosystems 16: 47–59. 9] Kokelj SV, Riseborough D, Coutts R, Kanigan JCN. 2010. Permafrost and terrain conditions at northern drilling-mud sumps: Impacts of vegetation and climate change and the management implications. Cold Regions Science and Technology 64: 46–56. 10] Woo MK. 2012. Permafrost Hydrology. Springer. 563 pp. 11] Kane DL. 1981. Physical mechanics of aufeis growth. Can. J. Civ. Eng. 8: 186-195. 12] Morse PD, Wolfe SA. 2017. Long-term river icing dynamics in discontinuous permafrost, subarctic Canadian shield. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes 28: 580-586. 13] Wankiewicz A. 1984. Hydrothermal processes beneath Arctic river channels. Water Resources Research 20: 1417-1426. 14] Rigon R, Bertoldi G, Over TM. 2006. GEOtop: a distributed hydrological model with

coupled water and energy budgets. Journal of Hydrometeorology 7: 371–388. 15] Krogh SA, Pomeroy JW, Marsh P. 2017. Diagnosis of the hydrology of a small Arctic basin at the tundra-taiga transition using a physically based hydrological model. Journal of Hydrology 550: 685-703.

Acknowledgements

Justin Kokoszka Kelly McHugh Peter Morse Bridget Rusk Dilshan Kariyawasaı Warren Pokiak Kayla Arey Evan Wilcox Dean Ahmet Joe Young Branden Walker **Rufus Tingmiak** Rupesh Subedi Justin Collinson Shawne Kokelj









Cold Regions Research Centre **30 YEARS OF** EXCELLENCE